



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,274	74 03/09/2000		Regis Nicolas	PALM-3024.IPG.US.P	2735	
	7590	01/10/2002				
Wagner Mur			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
Two North Ma Third Floor		eet		SAID, MANSOUR M		
San Jose, CA 95113				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2673	2	
				DATE MAILED: 01/10/2002	\mathcal{O}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					nd
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
200		09/522,2	274	NICOLAS ET AL.	
Office Actio	on Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	
		JR M SAID	2673		
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication	appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTHE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avarafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set or	ed above, the maximum statutory per extended period for reply will, by state a later than three months after the ma	N. R 1.136(a). In no e . reply within the sta riod will apply and v	vent, however, may a stutory minimum of this vill expire SIX (6) MOI plication to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THOS from the mailing date of this considered timely.	nmunication.
1) Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>(</u>	09 March 200	<u>0</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FIN	NAL. 2b)⊠	This action is	- s non-final.		
3) Since this applications of the second seconds	ation is in condition for alloance with the practice und	owance exce _l der <i>Ex parte</i> 0	ot for formal ma Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/a	re pending in the applicat	tion.			
4a) Of the above of	laim(s) is/are without	drawn from co	nsideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/	are allowed.				
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/	are rejected.				
м ^{.S.} 7.)⊠⁻ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/a	re objected to.				
8) Claim(s) ar	e subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirement.		
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed	d on is/are: a)∏ ac	cepted or b)	objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not	request that any objection to				
11)☐ The proposed draw	ing correction filed on	is: a)∏ a	pproved b) d	isapproved by the Examiner	
If approved, correct	ted drawings are required in	reply to this O	ffice action.		
12) The oath or declara	tion is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment i	s made of a claim for fore	ign priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. {	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∏ All b)∏ Some	* c) None of:				
1. Certified cor	pies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received.	·	
2. Certified cor	oies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received in A	oplication No	
applicati	e certified copies of the pr on from the International I tailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Si	age
14) Acknowledgment is					nnlication)
	of the foreign language p	orovisional ap	plication has be	en received.	ppiivativiij,
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (F2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure Staten	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)) <u>2</u> .	4) Interview S 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). formal Patent Application (PTO-	 52)
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7, 9-10, 12, 14-15, 17-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izutani (5,483,262) in view of Snell (5,756,941).

As to claims 1, 7, 10, 17-19, and 23, Izutani teaches a computer system (figure 1, (10)) comprising a processor (figure 1, (11)) coupled to bus; a memory unit (figure 1, (13-14)) coupled to the bus; a display screen (figure 1, (15)) coupled to the bus; a case (unit, (figure 1, (17) for supporting the processor, the memory unit, and the display screen, the case (figure 2a, (17)) having a slot (pen holder, (figure 2a, (2)) located therein for receiving a stylus (pen, (figure 2a, (1)); a detector (pen detecting circuit) for detecting the stylus in the slot, a switch coupled to the detector for generating a signal to power up the processor, the display screen (figure 9) (column 1, lines 11-22), into a power conservation mode when the stylus is inserted into the slot (figures 1, 2a-2c, 3a-3d, 4, and 5); (abstract; column 1, lines 45-67; column 2, lines 1-67; column 3, lines 1-53; column 4, lines 1-35; and column 5, line 17 through column 6, line 60.

Izutani does not expressly teach wherein a stylus having a digitizer housing.

Art Unit: 2673

However, Snell (figures 1-5) teaches a stylus with a digitizer housing (abstract; column 3, line 50 through column 4, line 67).

Therefore, it would have obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Snell's digitizer pen having digitizer housing into Izutani's handheld device so as to provide input to the hand-held computer so that visual feedback can be displayed for the user on the display (column 4, lines 30-35).

As to claims 2 and 12, Izutani (figures 2a-2c, 3a-3d) teaches wherein the detector (power switch, (3)) is located within the slot (pen holder, (2)) and is a mechanical detector (figures 5a-5b); (column 3, lines 1-62; column 4, lines 1-35).

As to claims 4, 14, and 20, Izutani (figures 8a-8b) teaches wherein the detector is located within the slot and is an electrical detector (column 3, lines 1-62; column 4, lines 1-35); and (column 5, lines 1-10).

As to claims 5, 15, and 21, Izutani (figures 2a-2c) teaches wherein the computer system is a palmtop computer system (information processor, (10)), (abstract; column 2,lines 55-67; and (column 3, lines 1-62).

As to claim 9, Snell (figure 1) teaches wherein the digitizer (108) is separate in area from the display (106) column 3, lines (50-67).

3. Claims 3, 6, 11, 13, 16, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izutani in view of Snell as applied to claims 1, 10, and 18 above, and further in view of Ogawa (6,100,538). Izutani and Snell teach all

Art Unit: 2673

claimed limitations in claims 3, 6, 11 and 13 except that optical detector and a battery which is supplying power to the computer.

However, Ogawa (figures 1-2) teaches an optical digitizer and display panel (6), a stylus (2) for an inputting device or pointer. Stylus that projects light directly or indirectly on a coordinate plane (1), the digitizer is provided with detector means units (3L and 3R) arranged around the coordinate plane (1) (column 6, lines 40-67), and also optical detector and a battery which is supplying power to the computer (abstract; column 2, lines 40-67; column 3, lines 40-56; column 4, lines 1-10; column 5, lines 19-30; column 9, lines 22-50; column 12, lines 30-62; and column 13, lines 1-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Ogawa's optical digitizer device having optical detector and battery into Izutani's modified device so as to provide an optical digitizer capable of operating with stability with out being affected by extraneous light including light radiated from the display panel of the digitizer (column 2, lines 40-46).

4. Claims 8 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izutani in view of Snell as applied to claims 1 and 18 above, and further in view of Dao et al. (5,049,862; hereinafter referred to Dao).

Izutani and Snell disclose all claimed limitations in claims 8 and 24 except that a first region for capturing stroke data associated with alphabetic characters and a second region for capturing stroke data associated with numeric characters.

Art Unit: 2673

However, Dao teaches (figure 1) a notebook (10) includes a first panel, a second panel (14) connected to first panel (12) by a hinge means (16) that allows both first and second panel to orient in a multitude of angles about hinge means, and a stylus (18) for writing on first panel and second panel. First panel (12) has flat surface (20) with an opaque first digitizer tablet (22) and allows placement of standard templates (column 3, line 60 through column 4, line 14); and a first region for capturing stroke data associated with alphabetic characters and a second region for capturing stroke data associated with numeric characters (figure 8, column 7, line 42 through column 8, line 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Dao's portable computer having alphabetic and numeric character into Izutani's modified device to allow real-time coupling of manual paper form completion into machine recognizable form (column 1, lines 1-10).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tyneski et al (5,584,054) disclose a communication device having a movable front cover for exposing a touch sensitive display.

lwata et al. (5,646,649) disclose a portable information terminal.

Marianetti et al. (5,889,888) disclose a method and apparatus for immediate response handwriting recognition system that handles multiple character sets.

Art Unit: 2673

Yoshida (5,894,580) disclose a display control unit makes a reference to a display off-time table to change automatic turn-off time (automatic interruption time) of a display unit.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mansour M. Said** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-5411**.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Shalwala Bipin**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4938**

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Page 7

 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer service Office

whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Patent Examiner

January 7, 2002

Mansour M. Said

BIPIN SHALWALA
SORY PATENT EXAMINER
SORY PATENT EXAMINER